arXiv:1110.5357v4 [math.DG] 7 Dec 2011

On conformal surfaces of annulus type

Yong Luo*

Abstract

Let $a > b > 0$ and f be a conformal map from $B_a \setminus B_b \subseteq R^2$ into $\mathbb{R}^n$, with $|\nabla f|^2 = 2e^{2u}$. Then (e_1, e_2) with $e_1 = e^{-u}\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}$, and $e_2 = r^{-1}e^{-u}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}$ is a moving frame on $f(B_a \setminus B_b)$. It satisfies the following equation

$$d \star \langle de_1, e_2\rangle = 0,$$

where $\star$ is the Hodge star operator on R^2 with respect to the standard metric.

We will study the Dirichret energy of this frame and give some applications.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in R^2 and f be a $W^{2,2}$ map from Ω to R^n, and $(e_1, e_2) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a positively oriented basis of f. We define

$$\mathcal{K}(e_1, e_2) = \frac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^1}\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^2}\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^1} =: \nabla e_1 \nabla^\perp e_2.$$

It is easy to check that $\mathcal{K}(e_1, e_2)$ is invariant under the group action $U(2)$, that is for any

$$e_1' = e_1 \cos\theta + e_2 \sin\theta, \quad e_2' = -e_1 \sin\theta + e_2 \cos\theta,$$

where $\theta \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, R)$, we have

$$\mathcal{K}(e_1', e_2') = \mathcal{K}(e_1, e_2), \tag{1.1}$$

see the appendix A for a proof. Hence we can write $\mathcal{K}(X_f) := \mathcal{K}(e_1, e_2)$, where X_f is the Gauss map of the surface $f(\Omega)$ defined from Ω to the Grassmannian $G(2, n)$. Moreover, we have

$$K_f e^{2v} = \mathcal{K}(X_f) = \nabla e_1 \nabla^\perp e_2,$$

where K_f is the gauss curvature of the immersed surface $f(\Omega)$ and $|\nabla f| = 2e^{2v}$ (see appendix A for the proof).

Since $div\nabla^\perp e_1 = 0$, and $rot\nabla e_2 = 0$, $\mathcal{K}(X_f)$ has compensation compactness. Furthermore, Wente's type inequality can be applied here.

Recall Wente's type inequality, which states that if $a, b \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ solves the equation

$$-\Delta u = \nabla a \nabla^\perp b \ \ in \ \ \Omega,$$

*The author is supported by the DFG Collaborative Research Center SFB/Transregio 71.

then u is continuous and we have

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C(\Omega)\|\nabla a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \tag{1.2}$$

see ([B],[BC]).

It is easy to see that $C(\Omega)$ is invariant under translation and dilation. F.Bethuel and J.-M. Ghidaglia in [BG1] showed that there exists constant C_1 which does not depend on Ω such that (1.2) holds true:

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_1\|\nabla a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

We denote by $C_\infty(\Omega)$ the best constant involving the L^∞ norm and by $C_2(\Omega)$ the best constant involving the L^2 norm. Then we have

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_\infty(\Omega)\|\nabla a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_2(\Omega)\|\nabla a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla b\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \tag{1.4}$$

It is proved in [B] that $C_\infty(\Omega) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi}$, and when Ω is simply connected, $C_\infty(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi}$. For the general Ω, it is proved by Topping [Top] that $C_\infty(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi}$. It is proved by Ge in [G] that $C_2(\Omega) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{64\pi}}$.

Let $B \subseteq R^2$ be the unit disk centered at the origin, then Li, Luo and Tang proved the following theorem by using the inequality (1.3):

Theorem A[L-L-T] *Let $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(B, G(2,n))$ with*

$$\int_B |\mathcal{K}(\varphi)| d\sigma \leq \gamma < 2\pi,$$

see appendix A for the information of $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$.

Then there exists a map $(e_1, e_2) \in W^{1,2}(B, \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for almost every $z \in B$, $(e_1(z), e_2(z))$ is a positively oriented basis of $\varphi(z)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\|d(e_1, e_2)\|_{L^2(B)} \leq C(\gamma)\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2(B)}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{K}(\varphi) \leq \frac{1}{2}|\nabla\varphi|^2$, see (3.2). We have the following directly corollary:

Theorem B[L-L-T] *Let $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(B, G(2,n))$ with*

$$\int_B |\nabla\varphi|^2 d\sigma \leq \gamma < 4\pi.$$

Then there exists a map $(e_1, e_2) \in W^{1,2}(B, \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for almost every $z \in B$, $(e_1(z), e_2(z))$ is a positively oriented basis of $\varphi(z)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\|d(e_1, e_2)\|_{L^2(B)} \leq C(\gamma)\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2(B)}.$$

Remark 1.1. *(1) The above theorem improved a theorem of Hélein ([H], chapter 5) by changing the constant from $\frac{8\pi}{3}$ to 4π (the same result also is proved in [K-L] by using a difficult result of [M-V]). The difference between us is that in Hélein's original proof he used the wente's inequality (1.4) of L^2 norm , whereas we use the wente's inequality (1.3) of L^∞ norm.*

(2) The constant 4π is shape for $n > 3$ (see [K-L]).

Assume that $f : B \to R^n$ is a conformal map and $f \in C^\infty(\overline{B})$, and $X_f : f(B) \to G(2,n)$ is the gauss map. Let $\varphi = X_f \circ f \in W^{1,2}(B, G(2,n))$, then we have (see (3.4) in the appendix A):

$$\begin{aligned}\int_B |K_f| d\mu_f &= \int_B |\mathcal{K}(\varphi)| d\sigma, \\ \int_B |\nabla\varphi|^2 dx &= \int_{f(B)} |\nabla_{g_f} X_f|^2 d\mu_f = \int_B |A_f|^2 d\mu_f,\end{aligned}$$

where ∇_{g_f} is the gradient with respect to g_f, μ_f is the area measure on $f(B)$, and A_f is the second fundamental form of $f(B)$.

Hence for such a conformal immersion f with the L^1 norm of the gauss curvature bounded by 2π, there exists a moving frame on it whose Dirichlet energy is bounded by the L^2 norm of the second fundamental form. Hélein ([H], chapter 5) used this moving frame to derive the weak compactness of immersed conformal surfaces from B into R^n. Using his argument, we have

Theorem C [L-L-T] *Let $f_k \in C^\infty(\bar{B}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a sequence of conformal immersions with*

$$\sup_k \int_B |K_{f_k}| d\mu_{f_k} \le \gamma < 2\pi, \quad \sup_k \int_B |A_{f_k}|^2 d\mu_{f_k} < \infty,$$

where $d\mu_{f_k}$ is the volume form deduced from metric g_{f_k}. Assume that f_k converges to f_0 weakly in $W^{1,2}$. Then f_0 is either a point or a conformal immersion.

In this paper, we are interested in generalizing these above results to immersed conformal surfaces from Ω into R^n when Ω is not simply connected. We will consider the easiest case, that is when Ω is an annuli. In the following we will let $a > b > 0$, and $B_a \setminus B_b = \{x \in R^2 : b < |x| < a\}$.

Theorem 1.2. *For every conformal map $f : B_a \setminus B_b \to R^n$ satisfying*

$$\|\mathcal{K}(X_f)\|_{L^1(B_a \setminus B_b)} \le \gamma < 2\pi,$$

there exists a map $b = (e_1, e_2)$ in $W^{1,2}(B_a \setminus B_b, \mathbb{R}^n \times R^n)$, such that for almost every $z \in B_a \setminus B_b$, $(e_1(z), e_2(z))$ is a positively oriented basis of $\varphi(z)$ and $\|d(e_1, e_2)\|_{L^2(B_a \setminus B_b)}$ is bounded.

Furthermore, if

$$\frac{\beta}{1 - \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{2\pi}}} < 1, \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$\int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 dx = \beta^2 (\|\nabla e_1\|^2_{L^2(B_a \setminus B_b)} + \|\nabla e_2\|^2_{L^2(B_a \setminus B_b)}).$$

then we have that

$$\|\nabla e_1\|^2_{L^2(B_a \setminus B_b)} + \|\nabla e_2\|^2_{L^2(B_a \setminus B_b)} \le C(\beta, \gamma, \frac{a}{b}) \|A_f\|^2_{L^2(B_a \setminus B_b)},$$

where $C(\beta, \gamma, \frac{a}{b})$ is a constant depending on β, γ and $\frac{a}{b}$.

As a direct corollary, we have

Theorem 1.3. *For every conformal map $f : B_a \setminus B_b \to R^n$ satisfying*

$$\|A_f\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)} \leq \gamma < 4\pi,$$

where A_f is the second fundamental form of f.

There exists a map $b = (e_1, e_2)$ in $W^{1,2}(B_a \setminus B_b, \mathbb{R}^n \times R^n)$, such that for almost every $z \in B_a \setminus B_b$, $(e_1(z), e_2(z))$ is a positively oriented basis of $f(z)$ and $\|d(e_1, e_2)\|_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)}$ is bounded.

Furthermore, if

$$\frac{\beta}{1-\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{2\pi}}} < 1,$$

where

$$\int_{B_a\setminus B_b} |\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 dx = \beta^2(\|\nabla e_1\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)} + \|\nabla e_2\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)}).$$

then we have that

$$\|\nabla e_1\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)} + \|\nabla e_2\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)} \leq C(\beta, \gamma, \frac{a}{b})\|A_f\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)},$$

where $C(\beta, \gamma, \frac{a}{b})$ is a constant depending on β, γ and $\frac{a}{b}$.

Remark 1.4. *We can see in the proof of the above two theorems that these estimates hold ture for any moving frame (e_1, e_2) satisfying*

$$d \star \langle e_1, de_2\rangle = 0 \ \ in \ \ B_a \setminus B_b, \ \ and \ \ \langle e_1, de_2\rangle(\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}) = 0 \ \ on \ \ \partial(B_a \setminus B_b),$$

where $\star$ is the Hodge star operator on R^2 and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ is the outward normal vector on the boundaries. In the following we will define such a moving frame to be a coulomb frame.

It is nature to ask the following question:
Question 1: On which kind of conformal parametric surfaces from $B_a \setminus B_b$ to R^n, there exists a moving frame (e_1, e_2) on it and some $\beta \in (0, 1)$, such that

$$\int_{B_a\setminus B_b} |\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 dx = \beta^2(\|\nabla e_1\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)} + \|\nabla e_2\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)})?$$

Definition 1.5. *Let Ω be a domain in R^2 and $f : \Omega \to R^n$ be a conformal immersion, and (e_1, e_2) is a moving frame on $f(\Omega)$, then we call (e_1, e_2) to be a coulomb frame of $f(\Omega)$ if*

$$d \star \langle de_2, e_1\rangle = 0 \ \ in \ \ \Omega, \ \ \langle de_2, e_1\rangle(\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}) = 0 \ \ on \ \ \partial\Omega.$$

If we only have

$$d \star \langle de_2, e_1\rangle = 0 \ \ in \ \ \Omega,$$

then (e_1, e_2) is called a semi-coulomb frame.

We have

Lemma 1.6. *Let $f : B_a \setminus B_b \to R^n$ be a conformal immersion, with $|\nabla f|^2 = 2e^{2u}$, and let $e_1 = e^{-u}\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}$ and $e_2 = r^{-1}e^{-u}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}$, then (e_1, e_2) is a semi-coulomb frame on $f(B_a \setminus B_b)$, and it is a coulomb frame if and only if u are constants on the boundaries. we call (e_1, e_2) to be the canonical semi-coulomb frame.*

Theorem 1.7. *Let $f : B_a \setminus B_b \to R^n$ be a conformal minimal immersion, that is, $f(B_a \setminus B_b)$ is a conformal minimal surface in R^n, then the canonical semi-coulomb frame of $f(B_a \setminus B_b)$, (e_1, e_2) satisfies the following condition*

$$\int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2}\int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |\nabla e_1|^2 + |\nabla e_2|^2 dx,$$

if and only if

$$\int_{B_a \setminus B_b} (\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial r})^2 dx = \int_{B_a \setminus B_b} r^{-2}(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta})^2 dx,$$

where $|\nabla f|^2 = 2e^{2u}$.

As a direct corollary we have

Corollary 1.8. *Let f be the same as the above theorem, and u is radially symmetric, and let (e_1, e_2) be the canonical semi-coulomb frame with*

$$\int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2}\int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |\nabla e_1|^2 + |\nabla e_2|^2 dx,$$

then we have

$$u(r) = -\log r + c,$$

where c is some constant, and so $A = 0$. Furthermore, e_1, e_2 are constant vectors.

Remark 1.9. *(1) Let f be defined on $B_a \setminus B_b$ as $f(r, \theta) = (\pm e^c \log r, \theta, 0, ..., 0)$, c is a constant, then f is a conformal immersion into R^n with $u = -\log r + c$. It is easy to see that the canonical semi-coulomb frame of $f(B_a \setminus B_b)$ is ((1,0) (0,1)) and the second fundamental form of $f(B_a \setminus B_b)$ is zero.*
(2) Let f be a conformal immersion from $B_a \setminus B_b$ to R^n, with $|\nabla f|^2 = 2e^{2u}$, and u is radially symmetric. Assume (e_1, e_2) be the canonical semi-coulomb frame, then if e_1 and e_2 are constant vectors, then we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} = e^u(a, b), \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} = re^u(c, d),$$

where a, b, c, d are constants with $a^2 + b^2 = c^2 + d^2 = 1, ac + bd = 0$. Thus $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial r \partial \theta} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta \partial r}$ implies that $u_r = -\frac{1}{r}$, and so $u(r) = -\log r + c$ for some constant c.

As a corollary of the above results, we have the following theorem, which partially answers the above question:

Theorem 1.10. *Let $f : B_a \setminus B_b \to R^n$ be a conformal minimal immersion, with $|\nabla f|^2 = 2e^{2u}$, and*

$$\int_{B_a\setminus B_b} (\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial r})^2 dx = \int_{B_a\setminus B_b} r^{-2}(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta})^2 dx, \tag{1.6}$$

$$u = c_a \ \ on \ \ \partial B_a, \ \ u = c_b \ \ on \ \ \partial B_b, \tag{1.7}$$

$$\int_{B_a\setminus B_b} |K_f| d\mu_f < (3 - 2\sqrt{2})\pi, \tag{1.8}$$

where c_a and c_b are constants. Let (e_1, e_2) is the canonical semi-coulomb frame of $f(B_a\setminus B_b)$, then we have

$$\int_{B_a\setminus B_b} |\nabla e_1|^2 + |\nabla e_2|^2 dx \le C \int_{B_a\setminus B_b} |A_f|^2 d\mu_f. \tag{1.9}$$

Remark 1.11. *The property of coulomb frames on conformal surface $f(\Omega)$ has big difference between the case when Ω is simply connected and the case when Ω is not simply connected. Recall that if f is a conformal immersion from the unit disk $B \subseteq R^2$ into R^n, then if the L^2 norm of the second fundamental form of $f(B)$ is below some constant, then the energy of a coulomb frame is controlled by this energy ([H][L-L-T]). But for $f : B_a \setminus B_b \to R^n$ with $f(x, y) = (x, y, 0, ..., 0)$, the second fundamental form of $f(B_a \setminus B_b)$ is zero, and $((\cos\theta, \sin\theta), (-\sin\theta, \cos\theta))$ is a coulomb frame on $f(B_a \setminus B_b)$ with nonzero energy.*

Now we will give an application of the above theorem.

Theorem 1.12. *Let $\{f_m \in C^\infty(\overline{B_a \setminus B_b})\}_{m\ge 1}$ be a sequence of minimal conformal immersions from $B_a \setminus B_b$ into R^n with $|\nabla f_m|^2 = 2e^{2u_m}$, and*

$$\int_{B_a\setminus B_b} (\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial r})^2 dx = \int_{B_a\setminus B_b} r^{-2}(\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial \theta})^2 dx, \tag{1.10}$$

$$u_m = c_{ma} \ \ on \ \ \partial B_a, \ \ u_m = c_{mb} \ \ on \ \ \partial B_b, \tag{1.11}$$

$$\sup_m \int_{B_a\setminus B_b} |K_{f_m}| d\mu_m < (3 - 2\sqrt{2})\pi, \ \ \sup_m \int_{B_a\setminus B_b} |A_{f_m}|^2 d\mu_m < \infty, \tag{1.12}$$

where c_{ma} and c_{mb} are constants, with $\sup_m\{|c_{ma}| + |c_{mb}|\} < \infty$.
Assume that f_m converges weakly to f_0 in $W^{1,2}$, then f_0 is a minimal conformal immersion, with bounded conformal factor. Furthermore the metric induced by f_0 is continuous.

Remark 1.13. *The minimal property will be kept under the weak convergence by the definition, if the limit immersion is conformal. Hence the difficult and non-obvious part is to prove that the limit immersion is conformal, and with bounded conformal factor.*

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2 results are proved. In appendix A we will give some basic computations which have been used in this paper and in appendix B we will give an alternative proof about that f_0 is conformal in theorem 1.12 by using a strong converge theorem of p-harmonic maps due to Hardt, Lin and Mou ([HLM]).

Notations: $\partial_r = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$, $\partial_\theta = \frac{\partial}{\partial_\theta}$, $f_r = \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}$, $f_\theta = \frac{\partial f}{\partial_\theta}$, $\partial_{rr} = ...etc.$

Acknowledgment The author would like to thank his advisor, professor Guofang Wang, and professor Ernst Kuwert for discussions.

2 Proof of the results

Proof of theorem 1.2:

Let $(e_1, e_2) \in W^{1,2}(B_a \setminus B_b, \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a positively oriented basis of f and X_f is the gauss map of f. Let

$$\digamma = \{(X_f, e_1, e_2) \in G(2,n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n | \quad (e_1, e_2) \text{ is a positively oriented orthonormal basis of } X_f\}.$$

Then $\digamma$ is a fibre bundle over $G(2,n)$ with fibre S^1. Since f is conformal, there exists a section $(\widetilde{e_1}, \widetilde{e_2})$ of $X_f^* \digamma$.

We consider for each $\theta \in W^{1,2}(B_a \setminus B_b, \mathbb{R})$ the frame (e_1, e_2) obtained by

$$(e_1, e_2) = (\widetilde{e_1}, \widetilde{e_2}) \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Actually, we will minimize over $\theta \in W^{1,2}(B_a \setminus B_b, \mathbb{R})$ the functional

$$F(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_a \setminus B_b} (|\nabla e_1|^2 + |\nabla e_2|^2) d\sigma \tag{2.1}$$

$$= \int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |\omega_2^1|^2 d\sigma, \tag{2.2}$$

where $w_2^1 = \langle de_1, e_2 \rangle$. By the arguments in [H], the minimum of F is attained, and the minimizer (e_1, e_2) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} d(\star \omega_2^1) = 0 \quad in \quad B_a \setminus B_b, \\ \omega_2^1(\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}) = 0 \quad on \quad \partial(B_a \setminus B_b), \end{cases}$$

where $\star$ is the Hodge star operator and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ is the outward normal vector on the boundary. Then there exists some $v \in W^{1,2}(B_a \setminus B_b, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$dv = \star \omega_2^1 - \alpha d\theta \quad in \quad B_a \setminus B_b, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \star \omega_2^1$ is a constant. It is easy to check that $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} = dv(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}) = -\alpha$ on $\partial(B_a \setminus B_b)$, and hence we have $v|_{\partial B_a} = c_a - \alpha\theta$, and $v|_{\partial B_b} = c_b - \alpha\theta$, where $c_a = v(a,0)$ and $c_b = v(b,0)$. A direct calculation yields

$$-\triangle v = \mathcal{K}(e_1, e_2). \tag{2.4}$$

Decompose v to be $v = v_1 + v_2$ where

$$-\triangle v_1 = \mathcal{K}(e_1, e_2), v_1 = 0 \quad on \quad \partial(B_a \setminus B_b). \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$-\triangle v_2 = 0, v_2 = c_1 - \alpha\theta \quad on \quad \partial B_a, v_2 = c_2 - \alpha\theta \quad on \quad \partial B_b. \tag{2.6}$$

To estimate v_1, we set v_1^k to be the solution of

$$-\Delta v_1^k = \frac{\partial e_1^k}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial e_2^k}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial e_1^k}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial e_2^k}{\partial x^1}, \quad v_1^k|_{\partial(B_a \setminus B_b)} = 0,$$

where $e_i = (e_i^1, e_i^2, \cdots, e_i^n)$. Applying Wente's inequality, we have

$$\|v_1^k\|_{L^\infty(B_a\setminus B_b)} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\|\nabla e_1^k\|_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)}\|\nabla e_2^k\|_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)},$$

which obviously implies that

$$\|v_1\|_{L^\infty(B_a\setminus B_b)} \leq \frac{1}{4\pi}(\|\nabla e_1\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)} + \|\nabla e_2\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)}).$$

A simple calculation by integration by parts implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_a\setminus B_b}|\nabla v_1|^2 d\sigma &= \int_{B_a\setminus B_b} v_1\mathcal{K}(e_1,e_2)d\sigma\\ &\leq \|v_1\|_{L^\infty(B_a\setminus B_b)}\int_{B_a\setminus B_b}|\mathcal{K}(e_1,e_2)|d\sigma\\ &\leq \frac{\gamma}{4\pi}(\|\nabla e_1\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)} + \|\nabla e_2\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)}).\end{aligned}$$

For v_2, we have

$$v_2 = \frac{c_a - c_b}{\log\frac{a}{b}}\log|x| + \frac{c_b\log a - c_a\log b}{\log\frac{a}{b}} - \alpha\theta.$$

Note that by the calculation in appendix A section (see (3.1)) we have

$$\|\nabla e_1\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)} + \|\nabla e_2\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)} = 2\|dv + \alpha d\theta\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)} + \|\nabla X_f\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|dv + \alpha d\theta\|_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)} &= \|dv_1 + dv_2 + \alpha d\theta\|_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)}\\ &\leq \|dv_1\|_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)} + \|dv_2 + \alpha d\theta\|_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)}\\ &\leq (\frac{\gamma}{4\pi})^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla e_1\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)} + \|\nabla e_2\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)})^{\frac{1}{2}} + (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{|c_a - c_b|}{(\log\frac{a}{b})^{\frac{1}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$(1 - (\frac{\gamma}{2\pi})^{\frac{1}{2}})(\|\nabla e_1\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)} + \|\nabla e_2\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)})^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{|c_a - c_b|}{(\log\frac{a}{b})^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\nabla X_f\|_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)}. \quad (2.7)$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\int_b^a |\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial\theta}|^2(r,0) + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial\theta}|^2(r,0)dr \leq \int_b^a |\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial\theta}|^2(r,\theta) + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial\theta}|^2(r,\theta)dr$, for $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$. To estimate the number $|c_a - c_b|$, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}|c_a - c_b| = |\int_b^a \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}(r,0)dr| &\leq \int_b^a |\langle e_1\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial\theta}\rangle|(r,0)r^{-1}dr\\ &\leq (\frac{\log\frac{a}{b}}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_b^a(|\langle e_1\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial\theta}\rangle|^2 + |\langle e_2\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial\theta}\rangle|^2)r^{-1}dr)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\leq (\frac{\log\frac{a}{b}}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_b^a |\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial\theta}d\theta|^2 + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial\theta}d\theta|^2 r dr)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\leq (\frac{\log\frac{a}{b}}{4\pi})^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_{B_a\setminus B_b} |\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial\theta}d\theta|^2 + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial\theta}d\theta|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\leq \beta(\frac{\log\frac{a}{b}}{4\pi})^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla e_1\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)} + \|\nabla e_2\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)})^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\leq \frac{\beta}{1 - (\frac{\gamma}{2\pi})^{\frac{1}{2}}}|c_a - c_b| + C(\gamma,\frac{a}{b})\|\nabla X_f\|_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\star d\theta = r^{-1}dr$ and that

$$\int_{B_a\backslash B_b} |\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial \theta}d\theta|^2 + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial \theta}d\theta|^2 dx = \beta^2(\|\nabla e_1\|^2_{L^2(B_a\backslash B_b)} + \|\nabla e_2\|^2_{L^2(B_a\backslash B_b)}).$$

Hence if

$$\frac{\beta}{1-\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{2\pi}}} < 1,$$

then $|c_a - c_b|$ is controlled by $C(\beta, \gamma, \frac{a}{b})\|\nabla X_f\|_{L^2(B_a\backslash B_b)}$, and hence the energy of the coulomb frame is controlled by $C(\beta, \gamma, \frac{a}{b})\|\nabla X_f\|_{L^2(B_a\backslash B_b)}$. Noting that $\|\nabla X_f\|_{L^2(B_a\backslash B_b)} = \|A_f\|_{L^2(B_a\backslash B_b)}$, we complete the proof of theorem 1.2 .

Proof of lemma 1.6: Note that $e_1 = e^{-u}f_r$, and $e_2 = r^{-1}e^{-u}f_\theta$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle de_1, e_2\rangle &= \langle\frac{f_{rr}-f_r u_r}{e^u}, \frac{f_\theta}{re^u}\rangle dr + \langle\frac{f_{r\theta}-f_r u_\theta}{e^u}, \frac{f_\theta}{re^u}\rangle d\theta \\
&= \langle\frac{f_{rr}}{e^u}, \frac{f_\theta}{re^u}\rangle dr + \langle\frac{f_{r\theta}}{e^u}, \frac{f_\theta}{re^u}\rangle d\theta \\
&= \frac{-u_\theta}{r}dr + (1+ru_r)d\theta,
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\star\langle de_1, e_2\rangle &= \frac{-u_\theta}{r}dr + (1+ru_r)d\theta \\
&= \frac{-u_\theta}{r}(-rd\theta) + (1+ru_r)r^{-1}dr \\
&= u_\theta d\theta + (r^{-1}+u_r)dr,
\end{aligned}$$

finally we obtain

$$d\star\langle de_1, e_2\rangle = u_{\theta r}dr\wedge d\theta + u_{r\theta}d\theta\wedge dr = 0,$$

which implies that (e_1, e_2) is a semi-coulomb frame on $f(B_a\backslash B_b)$. In addition, $\star\langle de_2, e_1\rangle(\frac{\partial}{\partial n}) = 0$ if and only if $\star\langle de_1, e_2\rangle(\frac{\partial}{\partial n}) = 0$ if and only if $\langle de_1, e_2\rangle(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}) = 0$. Note that $\langle de_1, e_2\rangle(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}) = u_\theta$, so $\star\langle de_1, e_2\rangle(\frac{\partial}{\partial n}) = 0$ if and only if u are constants on the boundaries.

Proof of theorem 1.7: Recall that $e_1 = e^{-u}\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}$ and $e_2 = r^{-1}e^{-u}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{f_{r\theta}-f_r u_\theta}{e^u}, \\
\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{f_{\theta\theta}-f_\theta u_\theta}{re^u},
\end{aligned}$$

hence we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial \theta}|^2 = &\quad e^{-2u}[f^2_{r\theta} - 2\langle f_{r\theta}, f_r\rangle u_\theta + f_r^2 u_\theta^2] \\
&= e^{-2u}[f^2_{r\theta} - 2e^{2u}u_\theta^2 + e^{2u}u_\theta^2] \\
&= e^{-2u}f^2_{r\theta} - u_\theta^2.
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial \theta}|^2 = & \quad r^{-2}e^{-2u}[f_{\theta\theta}^2 - 2\langle f_{\theta\theta}, f_\theta\rangle u_\theta + f_\theta^2 u_\theta^2] \\ = & \quad r^{-2}e^{-2u}[f_{\theta\theta}^2 - \frac{\partial r^2 e^{2u}}{\partial \theta}u_\theta + f_\theta^2 u_\theta^2] \\ = & \quad r^{-2}e^{-2u}[f_{\theta\theta}^2 - 2r^2e^{2u}u_\theta^2 + r^2e^{2u}u_\theta^2] \\ = & \quad r^{-2}e^{-2u}f_{\theta\theta}^2 - u_\theta^2.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial r} &= \frac{f_{rr} - f_r u_r}{e^u}, \\ \frac{\partial e_2}{\partial r} &= \frac{f_{r\theta} - f_\theta(\frac{1}{r} + u_r)}{re^u},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial r}|^2 &= e^{-2u}f_{rr}^2 - u_r^2, \\ |\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial r}|^2 &= r^{-2}e^{-2u}f_{r\theta}^2 - (\frac{1}{r} + u_r)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Summarized the above computations and note that $|d\theta|^2 = r^{-2}$, we have

$$|\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial \theta}d\theta|^2 + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial \theta}d\theta|^2 = r^{-2}e^{-2u}f_{r\theta}^2 + r^{-4}e^{-2u}f_{\theta\theta}^2 - 2r^{-2}u_\theta^2, \tag{2.8}$$

$$|\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial r}dr|^2 + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial r}dr|^2 = e^{-2u}f_{rr}^2 + r^{-2}e^{-2u}f_{r\theta}^2 - u_r^2 - (\frac{1}{r} + u_r)^2. \tag{2.9}$$

On the other hand, by the definition of the second fundamental form, we have

$$\begin{aligned}A_{rr} &= f_{rr} - e^{-2u}\langle f_{rr}, f_r\rangle f_r - r^{-2}e^{-2u}\langle f_{rr}, f_\theta\rangle f_\theta, \\ A_{\theta\theta} &= f_{\theta\theta} - e^{-2u}\langle f_{\theta\theta}, f_r\rangle f_r - r^{-2}e^{-2u}\langle f_{\theta\theta}, f_\theta\rangle f_\theta,\end{aligned}$$

therefor we have

$$\begin{aligned}A_{rr}^2 &= f_{rr}^2 - 2\langle f_{rr}, f_r\rangle^2 e^{-2u} - 2\langle f_{rr}, f_\theta\rangle^2 r^{-2}e^{-2u} + \langle f_{rr}, f_r\rangle^2 e^{-2u} + \langle f_{rr}, f_\theta\rangle^2 r^{-2}e^{-2u} \\ &= f_{rr}^2 - \langle f_{rr}, f_r\rangle^2 e^{-2u} - \langle f_{rr}, f_\theta\rangle^2 r^{-2}e^{-2u} \\ &= f_{rr}^2 - u_r^2 e^{2u} - u_\theta^2 r^{-2}e^{2u},\end{aligned}$$

similar computations implies that

$$A_{\theta\theta}^2 = f_{\theta\theta}^2 - r^4e^{2u}(\frac{1}{r} + u_r)^2 - r^2e^{2u}u_\theta^2.$$

Note that f is minimal, so

$$Trace(A) = g^{rr}A_{rr} + 2g^{r\theta}A_{r\theta} + g^{\theta\theta}A_{\theta\theta} = g^{rr}A_{rr} + g^{\theta\theta}A_{\theta\theta} = 0,$$

where $g^{rr} = e^{-2u}, g^{\theta\theta} = r^{-2}e^{-2u}$ hence

$$A_{rr} = -r^{-2}A_{\theta\theta},$$

which implies that

$$A_{rr}^2 = r^{-4} A_{\theta\theta}^2.$$

Thus we obtain

$$f_{rr}^2 - u_r^2 e^{2u} = r^{-4} f_{\theta\theta}^2 - e^{2u}(\frac{1}{r} + u_r)^2. \tag{2.10}$$

Note that

$$\int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |\nabla e_1|^2 + |\nabla e_2|^2 dx,$$

if and only if

$$\int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 dx = \int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial r} dr|^2 + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial r} dr|^2 dx,$$

thus we can get by combining (2.8)-(2.10) that

$$\int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |\nabla e_1|^2 + |\nabla e_2|^2 dx,$$

if and only if

$$\int_{B_a \setminus B_b} (\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial r})^2 dx = \int_{B_a \setminus B_b} r^{-2} (\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta})^2 dx.$$

Proof of corollary 1.8: From theorem 1.7 we know that

$$\int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |\nabla e_1|^2 + |\nabla e_2|^2 dx,$$

implies

$$\int_{B_a \setminus B_b} (\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial r})^2 dx = \int_{B_a \setminus B_b} r^{-2} (\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta})^2 dx,$$

hence if u is radially symmetric we must have that

$$\int_{B_a \setminus B_b} (\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial r})^2 dx = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = 0,$$

and so there is some constant c such that

$$u(r) = -\log r + c.$$

Hence we have $\triangle u = 0$, which implies that the gauss curvature $K = 0$, and so $A = 0$. By theorem 1.10 (note that u are constants on boundaries and hence (e_1, e_2) is a coulomb frame), we know that (e_1, e_2) has zero energy and so e_1 and e_2 are constant vectors.

Proof of theorem 1.10: We know that (e_1, e_2) is a coulomb frame and so when (1.6) holds we have that

$$\int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 + |\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial \theta} d\theta|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |\nabla e_1|^2 + |\nabla e_2|^2 dx,$$

by theorem 1.7. Then the constant β in theorem 1.2 is $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ and so when

$$\int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |K_f| du_f < (3 - 2\sqrt{2})\pi,$$

we have (1.5) holds, and then we get the desired inequality (1.9) from theorem 1.2.

Proof of theorem 1.12: Let (e_{m1}, e_{m2}) be the canonical semi-coulomb frame on $f_m(B_a \setminus B_b)$, then by theorem 1.10 we have the following inequality

$$\int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |\nabla e_{m1}|^2 + |\nabla e_{m2}|^2 dx \leq C \int_{B_a \setminus B_b} |A_m|^2 d\mu_{f_m},$$

where C only depends on $\frac{a}{b}$.

Note that we have

$$-\Delta u_m = K_m e^{2u_m} = \nabla e_{m1} \nabla^{\perp} e_{m2} \ \ in \ \ B_a \setminus B_b,$$

where K_m is the gauss curvature and $\nabla = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$ and $\nabla^{\perp} = (-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x})$. Let v_m solves the following

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta v_m &= \nabla e_{m1} \nabla^{\perp} e_{m2} \ \ in \ \ B_a \setminus B_b, \\ v_m &= 0 \ \ on \ \ \partial(B_a \setminus B_b). \end{aligned}$$

Let $e_{mi} = (e_{mi}^1, ..., e_{mi}^n), \ \ i = 1, 2$, and $v_m = v_m^1 + ... + v_m^n$, such that for each $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta v_m^k &= \nabla e_{m1}^k \nabla^{\perp} e_{m2}^k \ \ in \ \ B_a \setminus B_b, \\ v_m^k &= 0 \ \ on \ \ \partial(B_a \setminus B_b), \end{aligned}$$

then by wente's inequality we obtain

$$\|v_m^k\|_{L^\infty(B_a \setminus B_b)} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\nabla e_{m1}^k\|_{L^2(B_a \setminus B_b)} \|\nabla e_{m2}^k\|_{L^2(B_a \setminus B_b)},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_m\|_{L^\infty(B_a \setminus B_b)} &\leq \sum_k \|v_m^k\|_{L^\infty(B_a \setminus B_b)} \\ &\leq \sum_k \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\nabla e_{m1}^k\|_{L^2(B_a \setminus B_b)} \|\nabla e_{m2}^k\|_{L^2(B_a \setminus B_b)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\nabla e_{m1}\|_{L^2(B_a \setminus B_b)} \|\nabla e_{m2}\|_{L^2(B_a \setminus B_b)}, \end{aligned}$$

in the last inequality we have used Holder's inequality.

By using the equation satisfied by v_m and by integration by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_a \setminus B_b} -v_m \Delta v_m &= \int v_m \nabla e_{m1} \nabla^{\perp} e_{m2} dx \\ &\leq \|v_m\|_{L^\infty(B_a \setminus B_b)} \|\nabla e_{m1}\|_{L^2(B_a \setminus B_b)} \|\nabla e_{m2}\|_{L^2(B_a \setminus B_b)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\nabla e_{m1}\|^2_{L^2(B_a \setminus B_b)} \|\nabla e_{m2}\|^2_{L^2(B_a \setminus B_b)}. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\|v_m\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\|\nabla e_{m1}\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)}\|\nabla e_{m2}\|^2_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(u_m - v_m) &= 0 \ \ in \ \ B_a \setminus B_b,\\ u_m - v_m &= c_{ma} \ \ on \ \ \partial B_a,\\ u_m - v_m &= c_{mb} \ \ on \ \ \partial B_b,\end{aligned}$$

thus we have

$$u_m - v_m = \frac{c_{ma} - c_{mb}}{\log\frac{a}{b}}\log|x| + \frac{c_{mb}\log a - c_{ma}\log b}{\log\frac{a}{b}},$$

which implies that

$$\|u_m\|_{L^2(B_a\setminus B_b)} + \|u_m\|_{L^\infty(B_a\setminus B_b)} \leq C < \infty, \tag{2.11}$$

for some constant C independent of m.

Then by using an argument given by ([H], chapter 5), we can get that f_0 is a conformal immersion with bounded conformal factor as the following: Because f_m is conformal, there exists $0 \leq \theta_m \in C^\infty < 2\pi$ such that

$$df_m = e^{u_m}((\cos\theta_m e_{m1} + \sin\theta_m e_{m2})dx + (-\sin\theta_m e_{m1} + \cos\theta_m e_{m2})dy). \tag{2.12}$$

In particular, projecting the equation $d^2 f_m = 0$ along e_{m1} and e_{m2} we obtain

$$\frac{\partial\theta_m}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial y} = \omega^1_{m2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}), \tag{2.13}$$

$$\frac{\partial\theta_m}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x} = \omega^1_{m2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}), \tag{2.14}$$

where $\omega^1_{m2} = \langle de_{m2}, e_{m1}\rangle$.

Note that (2.13)-(2.14) implies that θ_m is bounded in $W^{1,2}$, hence we have that (we do not distinguish a sequence and its subsequences)

$$(b_m, \theta_m, u_m) \rightharpoonup (b, \theta, u) \ \ weakly \ \ in \ \ W^{1,2},$$

and so

$$(b_m, \theta_m, u_m) \to (b, \theta, u) \ \ in \ \ L^2,$$

therefor we have

$$(b_m, \theta_m, u_m) \to (b, \theta, u) \ \ a.e. \ \ in \ \ B_a \setminus B_b,$$

where $b_m = (e_{m1}, e_{m2})$, and $b = (e_1, e_2)$.

By passing to the limit in (2.12) we get

$$df_0 = e^u((\cos\theta e_1 + \sin\theta e_2)dx + (-\sin\theta e_1 + \cos\theta e_2)dy), \tag{2.15}$$

which implies that f_0 is conformal, with bounded conformal factor e^u.

Because u satisfies the following wente's type equation

$$-\Delta u = \nabla e_1 \nabla^{\perp} e_2 \ \ in \ \ B_a \setminus B_b,$$

hence u is continuous.

Note that

$$\Delta f_m = 0 \ \ in \ \ B_a \setminus B_b,$$

and

$$f_m \to f_0 \ \ weakly \ \ in \ \ W^{1,2}(B_a \setminus B_b),$$

therefor we have that

$$\Delta f_0 = 0. \tag{2.16}$$

On the other hand, because f_0 is a conformal immersion with $|\nabla f_0|^2 = 2e^{2u}$, we have that

$$\Delta f_0 = e^{2u} H_{f_0}, \tag{2.17}$$

where H_{f_0} is the mean curvature vector of f_0.

By comparing (2.16) with (2.17), we get that $H_{f_0} = 0$, and so f_0 is a minimal immersion.

3 Appendix

3.1 A

In this appendix, we review briefly some basic facts of Grassmannian. The concept in this appendix can be found in any textbook on the theory of Grassmannian.

Let

$$\Lambda^2 = \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{a_{ij} v^i \wedge v^j : v^i, v^j \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

Λ^2 is a linear space of dimension $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. If e_k is a normal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$, then $\{e_i \wedge e_j : i < j\}$ is a basis of Λ^2. The standard inner product of Λ^2 is defined by:

$$\langle v_1 \wedge v_2, w_1 \wedge w_2 \rangle := (v_1 \cdot w_1)(v_2 \cdot w_2) - (v_1 \cdot w_2)(v_2 \cdot w_1).$$

So, $\{e_i \wedge e_j\}$ is a normal basis of Λ^2.

Let $P(\Lambda^2)$ be the projective space getting from Λ^2. Recall that there is a nature map π from the unit sphere of Λ^2 to $P(\Lambda^2)$ which is a covering map.

Let ψ to be the Plücker embedding from $G(2,n)$ to $P(\Lambda^2)$, which endows $G(2,n)$ a Riemannian metric. Thus, given a $b = (e_1, e_2) \in W^{1,2}$, we think of $\varphi(x) = e_1 \wedge e_2$ as a map from Ω to the unit sphere of Λ^2 (also a map to Λ^2), then the normal of $\frac{\partial(e_1 \wedge e_2)}{\partial x}$ is just the normal of $\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial x} \wedge e_2 + e_1 \wedge \frac{\partial e_2}{\partial x}$ in Λ^2. By a direct calculation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\tfrac{\partial(e_1 \wedge e_2)}{\partial x}|^2 &= |\tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x} \wedge e_2 + e_1 \wedge \tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x}|^2 \\ &= |\tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x} \wedge e_2|^2 + |e_1 \wedge \tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x}|^2 + 2\langle \tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x} \wedge e_2, e_1 \wedge \tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x} \rangle \\ &= |\tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x}|^2 + |\tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x}|^2 - 2|e_1 \tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$|\nabla \varphi|^2 = |\nabla b|^2 - 2|\langle de_1, e_2 \rangle|^2. \tag{3.1}$$

Now, we prove (1.1). Let (e_1', e_2') be a another positively oriented norm basis of X. Then we have

$$e_1' = \lambda e_1 + \mu e_2, \quad e_2' = -\mu e_1 + \lambda e_2,$$

where $\lambda = (e_1', e_1)$ and $\mu = (e_1', e_2)$. We have

$$\frac{\partial e_1'}{\partial x^i} = \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^i} e_1 + \lambda \frac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^i} e_2 + \mu \frac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^i},$$

$$\frac{\partial e_2'}{\partial x^i} = -\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^i} e_1 - \mu \frac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^i} e_2 + \lambda \frac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^i}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{\partial e_1'}{\partial x^1}\tfrac{\partial e_2'}{\partial x^2} &= -\tfrac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^1}\tfrac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^2} + \lambda \tfrac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^1} e_1 \tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^2} - \lambda\mu \tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^1}\tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^2} + \lambda \tfrac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^2}\tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^1} e_2 + \lambda^2 \tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^1}\tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^2} \\
&\quad -\mu \tfrac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^1} e_2 \tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^2} + \tfrac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^1}\tfrac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^2} - \mu \tfrac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^2}\tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^1} e_1 - \mu^2 \tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^1}\tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^2} + \mu\lambda \tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^1}\tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^2}, \\
\tfrac{\partial e_1'}{\partial x^2}\tfrac{\partial e_2'}{\partial x^1} &= -\tfrac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^2}\tfrac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^1} + \lambda \tfrac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^2} e_1 \tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^1} - \lambda\mu \tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^2}\tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^1} + \lambda \tfrac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^1}\tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^2} e_2 + \lambda^2 \tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^2}\tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^1} \\
&\quad -\mu \tfrac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^2} e_2 \tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^1} + \tfrac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^2}\tfrac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^1} - \mu \tfrac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^1}\tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^2} e_1 - \mu^2 \tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^2}\tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^1} + \mu\lambda \tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^2}\tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{\partial e_1'}{\partial x^1}\tfrac{\partial e_2'}{\partial x^2} - \tfrac{\partial e_1'}{\partial x^2}\tfrac{\partial e_2'}{\partial x^1} &= -2(\tfrac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^1}\tfrac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^2} - \tfrac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^2}\tfrac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^1}) + 2(\lambda \tfrac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^1} + \mu \tfrac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^1}) e_1 \tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^2} + 2(\lambda \tfrac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^2} + \mu \tfrac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^2}) e_1 \tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^1} \\
&\quad + (\lambda^2 + \mu^2)(\tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^1}\tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^2} - \tfrac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^2}\tfrac{\partial e_2}{\partial x^1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 = 1$, we have $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^1}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^2}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^1} = 0$, and $\lambda \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^i} + \mu \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^i} = 0$, then we get (1.1).

We extend e_1, e_2 to a normal basis $e_3, \cdots, e_n \in W^{1,2}$. Such $e_i (i \geq 3)$ exists because φ is also a $W^{1,2}$ map from B to $G(n-2, 2)$.

We set

$$de_i = w_{ij}^k dx^j \otimes e_k + B_{ij}^\alpha dx^j \otimes e_\alpha,$$

where $i = 1, 2$ and $\alpha \in \{3, 4, \cdots, n\}$. Obviously, $w_{1i}^1 = w_{2i}^2 = 0$, $w_{2i}^1 = -w_{1i}^2 = \langle \frac{\partial e_1}{\partial x^i}, e_2 \rangle$, hence (3.1) is equivalent to

$$|\nabla \varphi|^2 = \sum_{ij,\alpha} |B_{ij}^\alpha|^2.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}(\varphi) &= (w_{11}^k e_k + B_{11}^\alpha n_\alpha)(w_{22}^k e_k + B_{22}^\alpha n_\alpha) - (w_{12}^k e_k + B_{12}^\alpha n_\alpha)(w_{21}^k e_k + B_{21}^\alpha n_\alpha) \\
&= \sum_\alpha (B_{11}^\alpha \cdot B_{22}^\alpha - |B_{12}^\alpha|^2),
\end{aligned}$$

therefor we obtain

$$\mathcal{K}(\varphi) \leq \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \varphi|^2. \tag{3.2}$$

Now, we consider the Gauss map of a conformal map $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $v = \frac{1}{2} \log(|\nabla f|^2/2)$ and denote by X_f the Gauss map induced by f.

X_f can be expressed as

$$X_f = (e^{-v}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1}) \wedge (e^{-v}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^2}),$$

where $v = \frac{1}{2}\log|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1}|^2$. We will calculate $|\nabla X_f|^2$. Since

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^1 \partial x^1}\cdot\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1}\right|^2 = e^{2v}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x^1}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^1 \partial x^1}\cdot\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1}\cdot\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^1 \partial x^2} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1}\right|^2 = -e^{2v}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x^2},$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^1 \partial x^1} = A_{11} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^1 \partial x_1}\cdot\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1}e^{-2v}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^1}\cdot\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^2}e^{-2v}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^2},$$

we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}(e^{-v}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1}) = e^{-v}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^1 \partial x_1} - e^{-v}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x^1}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1} = e^{-v}(A_{11} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^2}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x^2}).$$

In the same way, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}(e^{-v}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1}) = e^{-v}(A_{12} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x^2}),$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}(e^{-v}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^2}) = e^{-v}(A_{21} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^2}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x^1}),$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}(e^{-v}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^2}) = e^{-v}(A_{22} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x^1}).$$

Then, we get

$$\mathcal{K}(X_f) = e^{-2v}(A_{11}A_{22} - A_{12}^2) = Ke^{2v} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$|\nabla X_f|^2 = e^{-2v}\sum|A_{ij}|^2, \quad i.e. \quad |\nabla_{g_f} X_f|^2 d\mu_{g_f} = |A|^2 d\mu_{g_f}. \tag{3.4}$$

3.2 B

In this part, we will give an alternative proof about that f_0 is conformal in theorem 1.12. We need a special case of the following theorem proved by Hardt, Lin and Mou([HLM]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in R^2, and suppose $1 < p < \infty$ and for each $i = 1, 2, ...$, $u_i \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of*

$$div(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + f_i = 0$$

with $\sup_i \|u_i\|_{W^{1,p}} + \sup_i \|f_i\|_{L^1} < \infty$. If $u_i \to u$ weakly in $W^{1,p}$, then $u_i \to u$ strongly in $W^{1,q}$, whenever $1 < q < p$.

Note that f_m is conformal, that is

$$|\frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x}|^2 = |\frac{\partial f_m}{\partial y}|^2, \quad \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x}\cdot\frac{\partial f_m}{\partial y} = 0.$$

Now by the above theorem we have that

$$f_m \to f_0 \quad strongly \quad in \quad W^{1,p},$$

whenever $1 < p < 2$, which implies that

$$\frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x} \to \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x} \ \ a.e., \ \ and \ \ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial y} \to \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial y} \ \ a.e..$$

Therefor we obtain

$$|\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x}|^2 = |\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial y}|^2, \ \ \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial y} = 0,$$

implying that f_0 is conformal.

References

[B] Sami. Baraket: Estimates of the best constant involving the L^∞ norm in Wente's inequality, *Annales de la Faculeé des Science de Toulouse* Vol. V, No.3, 1996, 373-385.

[BC] H. Brezis, J.-M. Coron: Multiple solutions of H-system and Rellich's conjecture, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37* (1984), PP. 149-187.

[BG1] F. Bethuel, J.-M. Ghidaglia: Improved regularity of elliptic equations involving jacobians and applications, *J. Math. Pures Appl,* IX. Sér. 72 (5), 441-474 (1993).

[BG2] F. Bethuel, J.-M. Ghidaglia: Some applications of coarea formula to partial differential equations, *In: Pràstaro. A., Rassias. TH.M (eds.)*Geometry in Partial Differential Equations, PP. 1-17. World Sci. Publishing, River edge, NJ (1994).

[G] Y. Ge: Estimations of the best constant involving the L^2 norm in Wente's inequality and compact H-surfaces in Euclidean space. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **3** (1998), 263–300.

[H] F. Hélein: Harmonic maps, conservation laws and moving frames. Translated from the 1996 French original. With a foreword by James Eells. Second edition. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 150. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[HLM] R. Hardt, F. H. Lin and L. Mou, "Strong convergence of p-harmonic mappings" in progress in Partial Defferential Equations: The Metz Surveys, **3**, Pitman Res.

[K-L] E. Kuwert and Y. Li: $W^{2,2}$-conformal immersions of a closed Riemann surface into $\mathbb{R}^n$. *Preprint.*

[L-L-T] Yuxiang. Li, Yong. Luo and Hongyan. Tang: On the moving frame of a conformal map from 2-disk into $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Cals. Var. and Partial Differential Equations,* to appear.

[M-V] S. Müller and V. Šverák, On surfaces of finite total curvature, *J. D. G.* **42** (1995), 229–258.

[T] T. Toro: Surfaces with generalized second fundamental form in L^2 are Lipschitz manifolds. *J. D. G.* **39** (1994), 65–101.

[Top] P. Topping: The optimal constant in Wente's L^∞ estimate. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **72** (1997), 316-328.

[W] H. Wente: An existence theorem for surfaces of constant mean curvature, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **26** (1969), 318-344.

YONG LUO
Mathematisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg,
Eckerstr. 1, 79104 Freiburg, Germany.
yong.luo@nath.uni-freiburg.de